Collective dynamics of the p-Pb collisions

Wojciech Broniowski

CEA Saclay & IFJ PAN Cracow & UJK Kielce

Workshop on proton-nucleus collisions at the LHC
ECT*, 6-10 May 2013

[**Piotr Bożek** & WB,
PLB 718 (2013) 1557, 720 (2013) 250, arXiv:1304.3044]

Signatures of sQGP

Main signatures of sQGP in ultra-relativistic A+A collisions

- Collective flow
- Jet quenching

Flow manifests itself in harmonic components in the momentum spectra, certain features in correlation data (ridges), interferometry (femtoscopy), ...

3-stage approach

Our three-phase approach: initial → hydro → statistical hadronization

- **Initial phase** - Glauber model
- **Hydrodynamics** - 3+1 D viscous event-by-event
- **Statistical hadronization**

Main questions:

Are the central p-Pb collisions collective?

What are the limits on applicability of hydrodynamics?

Snapshots of initial Glauber condition in central p+Pb

Typical transverse-plane configuration of centers of the participant nucleons in a p+Pb collision generated with GLISSANDO
5% of collisions have more than 18 participants, rms ~ 1.5 fm – large!



Snapshot of peripheral Pb+Pb

Most central values of N_w in p-Pb would fall into the 60-70% or 70-80% centrality class in Pb+Pb

Pb+Pb: c=60-70% $\equiv 22 \leq N_w \leq 40$, c=70-80% $\equiv 11 \leq N_w \leq 21$



in Pb+Pb larger size than in p+Pb

Size in p+Pb



red - centers of participants, blue - center-of-mass of colliding pairs

Size in p+Pb vs Pb+Pb

fixed $N_w = 18$



smaller size in p+Pb → larger entropy density → more rapid expansion

All in all, initial conditions in most central p+Pb not very far from peripheral Pb+Pb

[see also Bzdak, Schenke, Tribedy, Venugopalan, arXiv:1304.3403]

Multiplicity distribution

To reproduce the multiplicity distribution of the most central events in p+Pb one needs to fluctuate the strength of the Glauber sources. We overlay the Gamma distribution (Gamma + Poisson = negative binomial). At statistical hadronization Poissonian fluctuations are generated





Initial condition in spatial rapidity

$$f(\eta_\parallel)_\pm \quad = \quad \exp\left(-\frac{(|\eta_\parallel| - \eta_0)^2}{2\sigma_\eta^2}\theta(|\eta_\parallel| - \eta_0)\right)\frac{(y_b \pm \eta_\parallel)}{y_b}\theta(y_b \pm \eta_\parallel),$$

$\eta_0 = 2.5$, $\sigma_\eta = 1.4$, $y_b = 8.58$ - beam rapidity, +/- indicates the forward/backward moving participant nucleons



[Bialas & Czyż 2005, Adil & Gyulassy 2005, Gazdzicki & Gorenstein 2006, Bzdak 2009, ...]

Assumed factorization of the transverse and longitudinal distributions



alignment of F and B event planes (can be checked experimentally)

collimation of flow at distant longitudinal separations

Surfers - the near-side ridge

Hydrodynamics [Bożek 2011]

3+1 D viscous event-by-event hydrodynamics

- $\tau_{\rm init} = 0.6$ fm/c, $\eta/s = 0.08$ (**shear**), $\zeta/s = 0.04$ (**bulk**)
- freezeout at $T_f = 150$ MeV
- average initial temperature in the center of the fireball $T_i = 242$ MeV ($< R^2 >^{1/2} = 1.5$ fm), or 319 MeV ($< R^2 >^{1/2} = 0.9$ fm)
- lattice spacing of 0.15 fm (**thousands of CPU hours**)
- realistic equation of state (lattice + hadron gas [Chojnacki & Florkowski 2007]), viscosity necessary for small systems
- Gaussian smearing of the sources, $r = 0.4$ fm – physical effect

$< R^2 >^{1/2} = 1.5$ fm



$< R^2 >^{1/2} = 0.9$ fm



isotherms at freeze-out $T_f = 150$ MeV for two sections in the transverse plane

evolution lasts about 4 fm/c - shorter but more rapid than in A+A

Statistical Hadronization



Statistical hadronization via Frye-Cooper formula + resonance decays (THERMINATOR), transverse-momentum conservation approximately imposed, local charge conservation included

RHIC: v_2 and v_3 vs PHENIX

elliptic and triangular flow [Bożek 2011]



solid: e-by-e, dashed: averaged initial condition

v_2 at LHC


p-Pb 5.02TeV
ATLAS
v2{2}
v2{4}
v2{2PC}
v2{2} hydro Glauber
v2{2} hydro Glauber+NB
v2{4} hydro Glauber+NB
v2
0.12
0.1
0.08
0.06
0.04
0.02
0
20
40
60
80
100
120
⟨Σ E⊥⟩ GeV

v_2 and v_3 vs p_T





v_2 and v_3 from the scalar-product method

[STAR 2002, Luzum & Ollitrault 2012]



v_2 and v_3

cuts: $|\eta| < 2.5$, $0.3 < p_T < 5$ GeV

	c=0-3.4%	c=3.4-7.8%
Glauber+Poisson, $< R^2 >^{1/2} = 1.5$ fm		
$v_2\{2\}^2$ $[10^{-3}]$	3.70(1)	3.78(2)
$v_3\{2\}^2$ $[10^{-3}]$	1.04(1)	0.95(1)
$v_2\{4\}^4$ $[10^{-6}]$	-0.4(4)	1.83(5)
Glauber+NB,		
$v_2\{2\}^2$ $[10^{-3}]$	8.18(12)	8.24(10)
$v_3\{2\}^2$ $[10^{-3}]$	1.52(8)	1.51(6)
$v_2\{4\}^4$ $[10^{-6}]$	15(7)	16(6)

CMS [Tuo's talk]: $v_2\{4\}^4 \sim 5 \times 10^{-6}$]

p_T spectra of π^+



$< R^2 >^{1/2} = 1.5$ fm case, $|\eta| < 2.4$:
$< p_T >_\pi = 0.48$ GeV, $< p_T >_K = 0.72$ GeV, $< p_T >_p = 0.99$ GeV (mass ordering)

Definition

$$C(\Delta\eta,\Delta\phi) = \frac{N^{\text{pairs}}_{\text{phys}}(\Delta\eta,\Delta\phi)}{N^{\text{pairs}}_{\text{mixed}}(\Delta\eta)} = \frac{S(\Delta\eta,\Delta\phi)}{B(\Delta\eta,\Delta\phi)}$$

(more convenient than the "per-trigger" correlations)

Ridge in p-Pb, ATLAS





Projection on $2 \leq |\Delta\eta| \leq 5$, ATLAS

$$Y(\Delta\phi) = \frac{\int B(\Delta\phi)d(\Delta\phi)}{N} C(\Delta\phi) - b_{\mathrm{ZYAM}}$$

The near-side ridge from our model:



red - $< R^2 >^{1/2} = 1.5$ fm, blue - $< R^2 >^{1/2} = 0.9$ fm

[competitive CGC explanation: Dusling & Venugopalan]

Ridge in p-Pb


(a) N_trk ≥ 110, 1<p_T<3GeV
(a) N_trk ≥ 110, 0.1<p_T<1GeV
(b) 90 ≤ N_trk < 110, 1<p_T<3GeV
(b) N_trk ≥ 110, 0.1<p_T<1GeV, no bal.
C_trig(Δ η, Δ φ)
Δ η
Δ φ

Flow from correlations (two-particle cumulants)



blue - unlike-sign pairs, black - like-sign pairs ($< R^2 >^{1/2} = 1.5$ fm case)

CMS: $v_2(|\Delta\eta| > 2) \simeq 0.065$, $v_3(|\Delta\eta| > 2) \simeq 0.024$

HBT radii

Interferometric radii due to Bose-Einstein correlations - measure of the size of the system at freeze-out



Conclusions

- In hydro there is flow!
- v_n coefficients measured in p-Pb reproduced (semi)quantitatively
- Overlayed strength fluctuations over the Glauber distribution important for the agreement (Glauber+NBD)
- Model 2-D correlations exhibit the two ridges, in particular the near-side ridge ("surfers")
- Interferometric radii for p-Pb are close to the A-A line, away from the p-p line - way to distinguish, will be verified shortly

$\rightarrow$ collective dynamics is compatible with high-multiplicity p-Pb systems