The earliest phase of relativistic heavy-ion collisions

Stanisław Mrówczyński

National Centre for Nuclear Research, Warsaw, Poland and Jan Kochanowski University, Kielce, Poland

in collaboration with Margaret Carrington & Alina Czajka

Outline

- What it is about
- Introduction to Color Glass Condensate
- Proper time expansion
- Glasma characteristics from energy-momentum tensor
- Jet quenching in glasma

Relativistic heavy-ion collisions



Color Glass Condensate

Color charges confined in the colliding nuclei generate **glasma** – the system of strong mostly classical chromodynamic fields which evolves towards equilibrium.



What are characteristics of the earliest ($\tau < 0.1 \text{ fm/}c$) stage of relativistic heavy-ion collisions?

Saturation



Saturated gluon system can be described in terms of classical chromodynamic fields.

Wee partons & valence quarks



In relativistic heavy-ion collsions



- Valence quarks classical sources of chromodynamic fields
- Saturation scale for A-A at LHC: $Q_s \approx 2 \text{ GeV} \implies \Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \approx 0.2 \text{ GeV} \implies \alpha_s(Q_s) \ll 1$

Heavy-ion collisions in light-cone variables





Color Glass Condensate

Classical Yang-Mills equation

$$D_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu}(x) = j^{\nu}(x)$$

$$j^{\mu}(x) = j_{1}^{\mu}(x) + j_{2}^{\mu}(x)$$
$$j_{1,2}^{\mu}(x) = \pm \delta^{\mu \mp} \delta(x^{\pm}) \rho_{1,2}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp})$$

Ansatz of gauge potentials

$$\begin{cases} A^{+}(x) = \Theta(x^{+})\Theta(x^{-})x^{+}\alpha(\tau, \mathbf{x}_{\perp}) \\ A^{-}(x) = -\Theta(x^{+})\Theta(x^{-})x^{-}\alpha(\tau, \mathbf{x}_{\perp}) \\ A^{i}(x) = \Theta(x^{+})\Theta(x^{-})\alpha_{\perp}^{i}(\tau, \mathbf{x}_{\perp}) \\ +\Theta(-x^{+})\Theta(x^{-})\beta_{1}^{i}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}) + \Theta(x^{+})\Theta(-x^{-})\beta_{2}^{i}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}) \end{cases}$$

E. Iancu, R. Venugopalan, in *Quark-Gluon Plasma* 3, ed. by R.C. Hwa, X.-N. Wang (World Scientific, Singapore, 2004

$$\begin{array}{c} & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ &$$

Boundary condition

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(0, \mathbf{x}_{\perp}) = \beta_1^i(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}) + \beta_2^i(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}) \\ \alpha_{\perp}^i(0, \mathbf{x}_{\perp}) = -\frac{ig}{2} [\beta_1^i(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}), \beta_2^i(\mathbf{x}_{\perp})] \end{cases}$$

Gauge condition

 $x^{+}A^{-} + x^{-}A^{+} = 0$

Proper time expansion

$$\alpha(\tau, \mathbf{x}_{\perp}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau^n \alpha_{(n)}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}), \qquad \alpha_{\perp}^i(\tau, \mathbf{x}_{\perp}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau^n \alpha_{\perp(n)}^i(\mathbf{x}_{\perp})$$

Proper time τ is treated as a small parameter $\tau \ll Q_s^{-1}$

Yang-Mills equations for the expanded potentials are solved recursively

$$\alpha_{(n)} = \alpha^{i}_{\perp(n)} = 0$$
 for $n = 1, 3, 5, ...$

0th order - oboundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_{(0)} = -\frac{ig}{2} [\beta_1^i, \beta_2^i] \\ \alpha_{\perp(0)}^i = \beta_1^i + \beta_2^i \end{cases}$$

Post-collision potentials are expressed through pre-collision potentials

2nd order

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_{(2)} = -\frac{ig}{16} [D^j, [D^j, [\beta_1^i, \beta_2^i]]] \\ \alpha_{\perp(2)}^i = \frac{ig}{4} \varepsilon^{zij} \varepsilon^{zkl} [D^j, [\beta_1^k, \beta_2^l]] \end{cases}$$

 $D^i \equiv \partial^i - ig(\beta_1^i + \beta_2^i)$

Fully analytic approach!

R. J. Fries, J. I. Kapusta, and Y. Li, arXiv:nucl-th/0604054 G.Chen, R.J. Fries, J.I. Kapusta and Y. Li, Physical Review D **92**, 064912 (2015)

Proper time expansion cont.

Chromoelectric and chromomagnetic fields

$$E^i = F^{i0}, \quad B^i = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{ijk} F^{kj}$$

oth order

 $\mathbf{E}_{(0)} = (0, 0, E), \qquad \mathbf{B}_{(0)} = (0, 0, B)$ $E^{z}_{i}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) = -ia[\beta^{i}(\mathbf{x}_{i}), \beta^{i}(\mathbf{x}_{i})]$

$$B_{(0)}^{z}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}) = -ig[\rho_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}), \rho_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp})]$$
$$B_{(0)}^{z}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}) = -ig\varepsilon^{zij}[\beta_{1}^{i}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}), \beta_{2}^{j}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp})]$$



E & *B* fields along the axis *z*

At higher orders transverse fields show up



R. J. Fries, J. I. Kapusta, and Y. Li, arXiv:nucl-th/0604054 G.Chen, R.J. Fries, J.I. Kapusta and Y. Li, Physical Review D **92**, 064912 (2015)

Energy-momentum tensor

$$T^{\mu\nu} = 2 \text{Tr}[F^{\mu\rho}F_{\rho}^{\ \nu} + \frac{1}{4}g^{\mu\nu}F^{\rho\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma}]$$

$$F^{\mu\nu} = \partial^{\mu}A^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu}A^{\mu} - ig[A^{\mu}, A^{\nu}]$$

The energy-momentum tensor is symmetric, gauge invariant and obeys

$$\triangleright \quad \partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

*T*⁰⁰ - energy density

*T*⁰ⁱ - energy flux, Poynting vector

 T^{xx} , T^{yy} , T^{zz} - pressures

 T^{ij} - momentum flux

Averaging over collisions

$$T^{\mu\nu} \sim \sum \partial^i \partial^j \beta^k \beta^l \dots \beta^m \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left\langle T^{\mu\nu} \right\rangle \sim \sum \partial^i \partial^j \left\langle \beta^k \beta^l \dots \beta^m \right\rangle$$

The pre-collision potentials in covariant gauge $\partial_{\mu}\beta^{\mu} = 0$ obey

$$-\nabla^{2}\beta^{+}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}) = \rho(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}) \implies \beta^{+}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^{2}x'_{\perp}K_{0}(m | \mathbf{x}_{\perp} - \mathbf{x}'_{\perp} |)\rho(\mathbf{x}'_{\perp})$$

IR regulator $m = \Lambda_{\text{OCD}}$

The potentials are transformed from the covariant to light-cone gauge $\beta_1^+ = \beta_2^- = 0$

Wick theorem

$$\left\langle \rho_a^k(\mathbf{x}_{\perp})\rho_b^l(\mathbf{y}_{\perp})\dots\rho_c^m(\mathbf{z}_{\perp})\right\rangle = \sum \prod \left\langle \rho_a^i(\mathbf{x}_{\perp})\rho_b^j(\mathbf{y}_{\perp})\right\rangle$$

Glasma graph approximation

$$\left\langle \beta_a^k(\mathbf{x}_{\perp})\beta_b^l(\mathbf{y}_{\perp})\dots\beta_c^m(\mathbf{z}_{\perp})\right\rangle = \sum \prod \left\langle \beta_a^i(\mathbf{x}_{\perp})\beta_b^j(\mathbf{y}_{\perp})\right\rangle = \sum \prod B_{ab}^{ij}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp},\mathbf{y}_{\perp})$$

Basic correlator in transversaly uniform system

$$B_{ab}^{ij}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp} - \mathbf{y}_{\perp}) \equiv \left\langle \beta_{a}^{i}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp})\beta_{b}^{j}(\mathbf{y}_{\perp}) \right\rangle = \int d^{2}x'_{\perp} d^{2}y'_{\perp} \cdots \left\langle \rho_{a}^{i}(\mathbf{x}'_{\perp})\rho_{b}^{j}(\mathbf{y}'_{\perp}) \right\rangle$$

color charge surface density

$$\left\langle \rho_a^i(\mathbf{x}_{\perp})\rho_b^j(\mathbf{y}_{\perp})\right\rangle = g^2 \mu \,\delta^{ab} \,\delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp} - \mathbf{y}_{\perp}) \qquad \qquad \mu = g^{-4} Q_s^2$$

$$B_{ab}^{ij}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp} - \mathbf{y}_{\perp}) \equiv \delta^{ab} \left(\delta^{ij} C_1(r) - \hat{r}^i \hat{r}^j C_2(r) \right) \qquad \mathbf{r} \equiv \mathbf{x}_{\perp} - \mathbf{y}_{\perp}, \quad r \equiv |\mathbf{r}|, \quad \hat{r}^i \equiv \frac{r^i}{r}$$

J. Jalilian-Marian, A. Kovner, L. D. McLerran and H. Weigert, Physical Review D 55, 5414 (1997)

Basic correlator in transversaly non-uniform system

$$B_{ab}^{ij}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp},\mathbf{y}_{\perp}) \equiv \left\langle \beta_{a}^{i}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp})\beta_{b}^{j}(\mathbf{y}_{\perp}) \right\rangle = \int d^{2}x'_{\perp} d^{2}y'_{\perp} \cdots \left\langle \rho_{a}^{i}(\mathbf{x}'_{\perp})\rho_{b}^{j}(\mathbf{y}'_{\perp}) \right\rangle$$

$$\left\langle \rho_a^i(\mathbf{x}_{\perp})\rho_b^j(\mathbf{y}_{\perp})\right\rangle = g^2\mu(\mathbf{x}_{\perp})\delta^{ab}\delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}-\mathbf{y}_{\perp})$$

color charge surface density $\mu = g^{-4}Q_s^2$

Projected Woods-Saxon distribution

$$\mu(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}) = \frac{\overline{\mu}}{\ln(1+e^{R_A/a})} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{1+\exp\left[\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{x}_{\perp}^2+z^2}-R_A\right)/a\right]}$$

System uniform in the transverse plane

$$B_{ab}^{ij}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp},\mathbf{y}_{\perp}) = \delta^{ab} f^{ij}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp} - \mathbf{y}_{\perp}) = \delta^{ab} f^{ij}(\mathbf{r})$$

 $\begin{cases} \mathbf{R} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}_{\perp} + \mathbf{y}_{\perp}) \\ \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{x}_{\perp} - \mathbf{y}_{\perp} \end{cases}$

System weakly nonuniform in the transverse plane

 $B_{ab}^{ij}(\mathbf{x}_{\perp},\mathbf{y}_{\perp}) = \delta^{ab} f^{ij}(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{r}) \approx \quad gradient \ expansion \ in \ \mathbf{R}^{"}$

G.Chen, R.J. Fries, J.I. Kapusta and Y. Li, Physical Review D 92, 064912 (2015)

Numerical results

Pb-Pb collisions at LHC



M. Carrington, A. Czajka & St. Mrówczyński, European Physical Journal A 58, 5 (2022)

M. Carrington, A. Czajka & St. Mrówczyński, Physical Review C 106, 034904 (2022)

M. Carrington, W. Cowie, B. Friesen, St. Mrówczyński & D. Pickering, Phys. Rev. C 108, 054903 (2023)

15

Anisotropy

Central Pb-Pb collsions

$$A_{TL} \equiv \frac{3(p_T - p_L)}{2p_T + p_L} \qquad p_T \equiv \langle T^{xx} \rangle, \quad p_L \equiv \langle T^{zz} \rangle$$

$$\tau = 0 \implies p_T = -p_L = \varepsilon \implies A_{TL} = 6$$



M. Carrington, W. Cowie, B. Friesen, St. Mrówczyński & D. Pickering, Phys. Rev. C 108, 054903 (2023) 16

Radial flow

Pb-Pb collisions at b = 6 fm



M. Carrington, W. Cowie, B. Friesen, St. Mrówczyński & D. Pickering, Phys. Rev. C 108, 054903 (2023)



Radial flow cont.



Pb-Pb collisions at b = 6 fm

 $P \equiv R^i T^{0i}$



M. Carrington, W. Cowie, B. Friesen, St. Mrówczyński & D. Pickering, Phys. Rev. C 108, 054903 (2023) 18



M. Carrington, W. Cowie, B. Friesen, St. Mrówczyński & D. Pickering, Phys. Rev. C 108, 054903 (2023)



M. Carrington, W. Cowie, B. Friesen, St. Mrówczyński & D. Pickering, Phys. Rev. C 108, 054903 (2023) 20

Hydrodynamic-like behavior

Pb-Pb collisions



M. Carrington, W. Cowie, B. Friesen, St. Mrówczyński & D. Pickering, Phys. Rev. C 108, 054903 (2023)

Angular momentum



F. Becattini, F.Piccini, J. Rizzo, Physical Review C 77, 024906 (2008)

Angular momentum cont.



Glasma does not rotate!

M. Carrington, W. Cowie, B. Friesen, St. Mrówczyński & D. Pickering, Phys. Rev. C 108, 054903 (2023)

Jet quenching



J. Adams et al. [STAR Collaboration], Nucl. Phys. A757, 102 (2005)

Jet quenching in glasma

How hard probes propagate through the glasma? $\frac{dE}{dx}, \hat{q}$ How hard probes propagate through the glasma? $\frac{dE}{dx}, \hat{q}$ $\frac{dE}{dx}, \hat{q}$ $\frac{dE}{dx}, \hat{q}$ $\frac{dE}{dx}, \hat{q}$ $\frac{dE}{dx}, \hat{q}$ $\frac{dE}{dx}, \hat{q}$ $\frac{dE}{dx}, \hat{q}$

- M. Carrington, A. Czajka & St. Mrówczyński, Nuclear Physics A 1001, 121914 (2020)
- M. Carrington, A. Czajka & St. Mrówczyński, Physics Letters B 834, 137464 (2022)
- M. Carrington, A. Czajka & St. Mrówczyński, Physical Review C 105, 064910 (2022)
- M. Carrington, W. Cowie, B. Friesen, St. Mrówczyński & D. Pickering, Phys. Rev. C 108, 054903 (2023)

Fokker-Planck equation

Transport of hard probes can be described using the Fokker-Planck equation.

$$\frac{drift}{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla\right)} n(t, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}) = \left(\nabla_p^i X^{ij}(\mathbf{v}) \nabla_p^j + \nabla_p^i Y^i(\mathbf{v})\right) n(t, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p})$$

 $n(t, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p})$ - distribution function of hard probes

$$\mathbf{v} \equiv \frac{\mathbf{p}}{E_{\mathbf{p}}}, \quad \nabla_p^i \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$$

$$X^{ij}(\mathbf{v}), Y^{i}(\mathbf{v}) \implies \begin{cases} \frac{dE}{dx} = -\frac{\mathbf{v}^{i}}{\mathbf{v}}Y^{i}(\mathbf{v}) & \text{collisional energy loss} \\ \hat{q} = \frac{2}{\mathbf{v}} \left(\delta^{ij} - \frac{\mathbf{v}^{i}\mathbf{v}^{j}}{\mathbf{v}^{2}}\right) X^{ji}(\mathbf{v}) & \text{momentum broadening} \end{cases}$$

$$n(t, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}) = n_{eq}(\mathbf{p}) \sim e^{-\frac{E_{\mathbf{p}}}{T}} \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad Y^{j}(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{\mathbf{v}^{i}}{T} X^{ij}(\mathbf{v})$$

solves FK equation

Fokker-Planck equation cont.



A Fokker-Planck equation for glasma can be derived using the *quasilinear* method known in plasma physics.

Fokker-Planck equation of a hard probe in glasma

 $\mathbf{F} = g \left(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} \right)$

$$> X^{ij}(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{N_c} \int_0^t dt' \left\langle F^i(t, \mathbf{r}) F^j(t', \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}(t-t')) \right\rangle, \qquad Y^j(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{\mathbf{v}^i}{T} X^{ij}(\mathbf{v})$$



The collision term is given by field correlators $\langle E^i E^j \rangle, \langle B^i E^j \rangle, \langle B^i B^j \rangle$

Gauge covariance requires: $\left\langle E_a^i(t,\mathbf{r})E_a^j(t',\mathbf{r'})\right\rangle \rightarrow \left\langle E_a^i(t,\mathbf{r})\Omega_{ab}(t,\mathbf{r}\,|\,t',\mathbf{r'})E_b^j(t',\mathbf{r'})\right\rangle$

$$\Omega(t,\mathbf{r} \mid t',\mathbf{r}') \equiv P \exp\left[ig \int_{(t',\mathbf{r})}^{(t,\mathbf{r})} ds_{\mu} A^{\mu}(s)\right]$$

Transport of hard probes in glasma

$$X^{ij}(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{g}{N_c} \int_0^t dt' \left\{ \left\langle E^i(t, \mathbf{r}) E^j(t', \mathbf{r}') \right\rangle + \varepsilon^{jkl} \mathbf{v}^k \left\langle E^i(t, \mathbf{r}) B^l(t', \mathbf{r}') \right\rangle \right\}$$
$$+ \varepsilon^{ikl} \mathbf{v}^k \left\langle B^l(t, \mathbf{r}) E^j(t', \mathbf{r}') \right\rangle + \varepsilon^{ikl} \varepsilon^{jmn} \mathbf{v}^k \mathbf{v}^m \left\langle B^l(t, \mathbf{r}) B^n(t', \mathbf{r}') \right\rangle \right\}$$

 $\mathbf{r'} \equiv \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}(t - t')$

$$\begin{cases} \hat{q} = \frac{2}{v} \left(\delta^{ij} - \frac{v^{i}v^{j}}{v^{2}} \right) X^{ji}(\mathbf{v}) \\ \frac{dE}{dx} = -\frac{v^{i}v^{j}}{vT} X^{ij}(\mathbf{v}) \end{cases}$$



Rough estimate

Density of energy accumulated in the fields

Density of energy released in a central collision

E & *B* fields along the axis *z*



Hard probes in glasma - \hat{q}



M. Carrington, W. Cowie, B. Friesen, St. Mrówczyński & D. Pickering, Phys. Rev. C 108, 054903 (2023) 31

Hard probes in glasma - $\frac{dE}{dx}$



M. Carrington, W. Cowie, B. Friesen, St. Mrówczyński & D. Pickering, Phys. Rev. C 108, 054903 (2023) 32

Glasma impact on jet quenching



S. Cao et al. [JETSCAPE], Physical Review C **104**, 024905 (2021), C. Shen, U. Heinz, P. Huovinen and H. Song, Physical Review C **84**, 044903 (2011).

Glasma impact on jet quenching cont.



Full simulations of glasma

A. Ipp, D.I. Műller and D. Schuh, Phys. Lett. B 810, 135810 (2020)

D. Avramescu, V. Băran, V. Greco, A. Ipp, D.I. Műller & M.Ruggieri, Phys. Rev. D 107, 114021 (2023)



K. Boguslavski, A. Kurkela, T.Lappi, F. Lindenbauer & J.Peuron, arXiv:2303.12595

Conclusions



The glasma evolves in a hydrodynamic-like way.



The glasma's orbital momentum is small, the system does not rotate.



Momentum broadening and energy loss in the glasma are significantly bigger than in equilibrated QGP.



In spite of its short lifetime the glasma provides a significant contribution to the jet quenching.