On the neutron–proton correlations and deuteron production
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The neutron–proton correlations and deuteron formation in nuclear collisions both appear due to the final state interactions. Thus, we suggest simultaneous measurements of the neutron–proton correlation function and the deuteron formation rate. We calculate in parallel the two quantities and express them through the space–time parameters of the particle source created in nucleus–nucleus collisions.

The measurements of two-particle correlations are well known to provide information about space–time characteristics of particle sources in nuclear collisions for bombarding energies from tens of MeV [1] to hundreds of GeV [2]. One usually deals with pairs of identical particles, protons at lower energies and pions at higher ones. However, the correlations of non-identical particles [3] and the probabilities of bound state formations [4] (see also ref [5]) determine the space–time size of particle sources as well. The neutron and proton are of particular interest here since one can study the two-particle correlation and bound state formation with the same particles. It is important to stress that the correlation between neutron and proton with small relative momentum and the deuteron formation both appear due to the final state interaction [6–8].

The recent successful measurements of the neutron–neutron correlations [9] and the very first data on the neutron–proton (n–p) correlations [10] show that the n–p correlation function can be precisely measured. We suggest to study this quantity simultaneously with the probability of the deuteron production, which can be easily measured at present. The analysis of such data would provide, in particular, a valuable test of the method which uses the two-particle correlations to determine the space–time structure of particle sources. If the method is indeed accurate the n–p pair in a scattering state and the deuteron should provide exactly the same space–time size of a source. The derivation of the main formula of the method shows that it holds under rather restrictive conditions, which might be not always fulfilled in nuclear collisions (see e.g. ref [8]).

The aim of this paper is to derive simple formulas, which can be used in the analysis of simultaneous measurements of the n–p correlation and deuteron production. The n–p correlation function and the deuteron formation rate, which relates the deuteron production cross section to the cross section of the n–p pair production, were calculated in ref [3] and refs [4–7], respectively. The calculations [4–7] assumed the simultaneous emission of the neutron and proton which further form a deuteron, while the analysis of two-particle correlations usually takes into account noninstantaneous particle emission. Thus, we derive here the deuteron formation rate for noninstantaneous emission to make the two processes comparable. The authors of ref [3], where the n–p correlation function was calculated, were interested in relativistic systems, and for this reason they used a rather complicated formalism of the Bethe–Salpeter amplitudes instead of wave functions. However, the n–p correlation function can be measured at present only for nonrelativistic neutrons. Thus, we calculate the n–p correlation function using the familiar language of nonrelativistic wave functions. We then find
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that the correlation functions of the n–p pairs in a singlet and triplet state are qualitatively different from each other for sufficiently large sources. We briefly discuss how this observation can be used to study the spin of a particle source.

Let us start our considerations with discussing in parallel the cross sections of deuteron production and n–p emission. These cross sections can be written as

\[
\frac{d\sigma^d}{d^3P} = \frac{d\sigma^{np}}{d^3P^1 d^3P^2}, \quad (1a)
\]

\[
\frac{d\sigma^{np}}{d^3P^1 d^3P^2} = R(p_1, p_2) \frac{d\sigma^{np}}{d^3P^1 d^3P^2}, \quad (1b)
\]

where \(d\sigma^{np}/d^3P^1 d^3P^2\) is the n–p cross section production which neglects particle correlations due to the final state interactions. In the reference frame where a particle source is at rest, the deuteron formation rate \(\mathcal{A}\) and the n–p correlation function \(\mathcal{R}\) are expressed in the nonrelativistic limit as

\[
\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{4} (2\pi)^3 \int d^3r_1 dt_1 \int d^3r_2 dt_2 \times \mathcal{D}(r_1, t_1) \mathcal{D}(r_2, t_2) |\psi_1(r_1', r_2')|^2, \quad (2a)
\]

\[
R(p_1, p_2) = \frac{1}{4} R^s(p_1, p_2) + \frac{3}{4} R^t(p_1, p_2)
\]

with

\[
R^s(p_1, p_2) = \int d^3r_1 dt_1 \int d^3r_2 dt_2 \times \mathcal{D}(r_1, t_1) \mathcal{D}(r_2, t_2) |\psi_{np}^s(r_1', r_2')|^2, \quad (2b)
\]

where the source function \(\mathcal{D}(r, t)\), which is normalized as \(\int d^3r \mathcal{D}(r, t) = 1\), gives the probability to emit a nucleon from a space–time point \((r, t)\). \(\psi_1, \psi_{np}^s, \psi_{np}^t\) and \(\psi_{np}^t\) are the wave functions of a deuteron, of an n–p pair in a singlet state and in a triplet state, respectively. \(r' = r - vt\) with \(v\) being the center-of-mass velocity, leads to the following gaussian parametrization of a source

\[
\mathcal{D}(r, t) = (2\pi)^3 \int d^3r dt |\phi_d(r')|^2, \quad (3a)
\]

\[
\times \exp \left( - \frac{r^2}{2r_0^2} - \frac{t^2}{2t_0^2} \right), \quad (3b)
\]

where the index \(t\) labels the wave functions of the deuteron, the n–p pair in a singlet state and the n–p pair in triplet state, respectively.

Substituting eqs (3) and (4) into eqs (2) one gets, after integration over \(R\) and \(T\)

\[
\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{4} (2\pi)^3 \int d^3r dt |\phi_d(r')|^2, \quad (5a)
\]

\[
R^s(q, P) = \int d^3r dt |\phi_{np}^s(r')|^2, \quad (5b)
\]

Further, we calculate separately the deuteron formation rate and the correlation function

(1) The deuteron formation rate After changing the variables \((r, t) \rightarrow (r-\nu t, t)\) in eq (5a), one easily performs the integration over time and then the integr-
integration over polar and azimuthal angles assuming that the deuteron wave function is spherically symmetric. The results reads

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{3\pi^3}{r_0 \nu \tau} \int_0^\infty dr \left| \phi_d(r) \right|^2 \exp \left( -\frac{r^2}{4r_0^2} \right) \operatorname{erfi}(ar),$$

(7)

where $r = |r|$ and

$$a = \frac{\nu \tau}{2r_0 \sqrt{r_0^2 + \nu^2 \tau^2}}, \quad \operatorname{erfi}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x dt \exp(t^2)$$

We use in further calculations the deuteron wave function in the Hulthén form

$$\phi_d(r) = \left( \frac{\alpha \beta(\alpha + \beta)}{2\pi(\alpha - \beta)^2} \right)^{1/2} \exp(-\alpha r) - \exp(-\beta r) / r,$$

(8)

with $\alpha = 0.23 \text{ fm}^{-1}$ and $\beta = 1.61 \text{ fm}^{-1}$ [13]. The calculation of the integral (7) with the wave function (8) has been performed numerically and the formation rate $\mathcal{A}$ as a function of the source size parameter $r_0$ is presented in fig 1 for several values of the parameter $\nu \tau$. Let us stress here the source life-time parameter $\tau$ and the deuteron velocity $\nu$ enter the formation rate $\mathcal{A}$ only as a product $\nu \tau$. Physically it means that the formation rate (the correlation function) does not depend directly on the source life time but on the distance the particle pair travels during the source life time.

The function $\mathcal{A}(r_0, \nu \tau)$ shown in fig 1 manifests specific mathematical properties. Namely,

$$\mathcal{A}(r_0 \rightarrow 0, \nu \tau = 0) \rightarrow 6\pi^3 \left| \phi_d(r_0) \right|^2 = 3\pi^2 \alpha \beta(\alpha + \beta)$$

$$\mathcal{A}(r_0 \rightarrow 0, \nu \tau > 0) \rightarrow 0$$

These limits are useful to check numerical calculations.

If the size of a particle source is comparable with its life time, $r_0$ is much greater than $\tau$ in the nonrelativistic limit, where $\nu \ll 1$ Then, one can well approximate the deuteron formation rate (7) by its value at $\nu \tau = 0$. In this case, one can perform a completely analytic calculation and the result is

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = \frac{3\pi^2 \alpha \beta(\alpha + \beta)}{2r_0^3} \left( \frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha + \beta} \right)^2$$

$$\times \left[ F(2\alpha r_0) - 2F((\alpha + \beta)r_0) + F(2\beta r_0) \right],$$

(9)

where

$$F(x) = \exp(x^2) \operatorname{erfc}(x),$$

$$\operatorname{erfc}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_x^\infty dt \exp(-t^2)$$

(2) The correlation function. We calculate the correlation function $\mathcal{B}$ following ref [3], where the relativistic system has been considered.

If the source radius is significantly greater than the n-p interaction range, the wave function of the n-p pair (in a scattering state) can be approximated by its asymptotic form which is

$$\phi_{np}^{as}(r) = \exp(iqr) + f^{as}(q) \frac{\exp(iqr)}{r},$$

(10)

where $q = |q|$ and $f^{as}(q)$ is the scattering amplitude. The correction due to the nonasymptotic states of the n-p pairs are commented on at the end of our paper. The scattering amplitude is chosen as

$$f^{as}(q) = \frac{-a^{as}}{1 - \frac{1}{2}a^{as}q^2 + iqa^{as}},$$

(11)

where $a^{as}$ ($d^{as}$) is the scattering length (effective range) of the n-p scattering, $a^{as} = -23.7$ fm, $d^{as} = 2.7$ fm and $a' = 5.4$ fm, $d' = 1.7$ fm [14]. The amplitude (11) takes into account only the s-wave scattering.

Fig 1 The deuteron formation rate as a function of $r_0$ for several values of $\nu \tau$. The value of $\nu \tau$ increases from the highest line ($\nu \tau = 0$) to the lowest line ($\nu \tau = 5$ fm).
ing. This is justified as long as "small" relative momenta are considered.

Substituting the wave function (10) into eq (5b) and changing the variables \((r, t) \rightarrow (r - vt, t)\) one finds

\[
\mathcal{A}^{\text{st}}(q, P) = 1 + 2 \Re \left(f^{\text{st}}(q)\right) \\
\times \int \frac{d^3r}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{dt}{(2\pi)^2} r^{-1} \partial_t \langle r' \rangle \exp(-iqr + iqr') \\
+ |f^{\text{st}}(q)|^2 \int \frac{d^3r}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{dt}{(2\pi)^2} r^{-2} \partial_t \langle r'' \rangle ,
\]

where \(r'' = r + vt\). The computation of the third term on the right-hand-side of eq (12) is rather straightforward, while before calculation of the second term on the right-hand-side of eq (12) we should choose the physical observables. When \(vt = 0\), the correlation function depends only on the magnitude of the vector \(q\). In the general case when \(vt > 0\), the correlation function (12) depends on the magnitudes of two vectors \(q\) and \(P\) and their relative orientation. Thus, we choose as arguments of the correlation function the pair velocity \(v\), the relative longitudinal momentum \(q_L\) parallel to \(P\) and the transverse momentum \(q_T\) perpendicular to \(P\). We then introduce cylindrical coordinates \((\rho, z, \phi)\) with the \(z\)-axis parallel to \(P\), and perform the integration over time and azimuthal angle. Then, one finds

\[
\mathcal{A}^{\text{st}}(q_L, q_T, v) = 1 + \left(2\sqrt{\pi r_0^3} \sqrt{r_0^2 + v^2 t^2}\right)^{-1} \\
\times \int_0^\infty \frac{d\rho}{\rho^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \left(\rho^2 + z^2\right)^{-1/2} \left[J_0(q_T \rho) \\
\times \exp\left(-\frac{\rho^2 + z^2}{4r_0^2} + \frac{v^2 \tau^2 z^2}{4r_0^2 (r_0^2 + v^2 \tau^2)}\right) \\
\times [\Re f^{\text{st}}(q) \cos(q_L z - q_T \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2}) \\
+ \Im f^{\text{st}}(q) \sin(q_L z - q_T \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2})]\right] \\
+ |f^{\text{st}}(q)|^2 \frac{1}{2r_0 v^2} \arcsinh \frac{\sqrt{v^2 \tau^2}}{r_0^2 + v^2 \tau^2} ,
\]

where \(q = |q| = \sqrt{q_T^2 + q_L^2}\) and \(J_0\) is the Bessel function, which appears due to the integration over azimuthal angle. At \(q = 0\), the correlation function (13) can be computed analytically, and the result is

\[
\mathcal{A}^{\text{st}}(q=0, v) = 1 - \frac{q_T^2 \ln \sqrt{r_0^2 + v^2 \tau^2 + v^2 \tau}}{\sqrt{r_0^2 + v^2 \tau^2 - v^2 \tau}} \\
+ \frac{(q_T^2)^2}{2r_0 v^2} \arcsinh \frac{\sqrt{v^2 \tau^2}}{r_0^2 + v^2 \tau^2}
\]

(14)

In the general case when \(q \neq 0\) the integrations in eq (13) have to be performed numerically. Examples of such calculations are displayed in figs 2 and 3.

As expected, the n-p correlation function manifests a prominent peak at zero relative momentum. In fact, this peak appears because the singlet correlation function gives a dominant contribution to the spin average correlation function. As we show below,
the triplet correlation function has a minimum at $q=0$ for sufficiently large sources. Let us also observe here that the $q_T$- and $q_L$-dependences of $R$ are rather similar to each other. It is not surprising since these dependences are exactly identical when $\nu r = 0$.

When $r_0 \gg \nu r$, one can well approximate the correlation function (13) by the function at $\nu r = 0$. In this case, the correlation function depends only on $q$ and the computation can be performed analytically using spherical coordinates. The result is

\[
R_0(q) = \frac{1}{2\nu r^3} \left(1 + \text{Re} f^{s_1}(q) - q^2 \right) \exp(-4\nu r^2 q^2) \text{erfi}(2\nu r q) \quad \text{and} \quad -\text{Im} f^{s_1}(q) \left(1 - \exp(-4\nu r^2 q^2)\right)
\]

\[
+ |f^{s_1}(q)|^2 \frac{1}{2\nu r^3}
\]

(15)

The correlation function (15) is shown in figs 4–6. In figs 5 and 6 we present not only the spin average correlation function but also the correlation functions of $n$–$p$ pairs in singlet ($S=0$) and triplet ($S=1$) states. One sees that for large source radii these functions are qualitatively different from each other. While the singlet correlation function has a maximum at $q=0$, the triplet function has a minimum there. In the channel $S=1$ there are two physical phenomena which compete with each other. These are the scattering on attractive potential and the deuteron formation. The first mechanism leads to the positive correlation, while the formation of deuterons depletes the sample of $n$–$p$ pairs and effectively leads to the negative correlation. It appears that for large source radii, the $n$–$p$ correlation function is dominated by the deuteron formation. The relative contribution of the first mechanism grows as the source radius goes to zero. At $r_0 \approx 3.5$ fm, both mechanisms cancel each other, and no correlation is seen. When the radius decreases further, the scattering mechanism dominates and the triplet correlation is positive as in the singlet case. Since the singlet interaction of an $n$–$p$ pair is much stronger than the triplet one, the behaviour of the average correlation function is always dominated by the singlet one.

In the case of a spinning source, the number of $n$–$p$ pairs in a triplet state (parallel spins) is expected to be larger than $\frac{1}{2}$ of all pairs. Consequently, the cor-

---

**Fig 4** The $n$–$p$ correlation function versus $q$ at $\nu r = 0$ for several values of $r_0$. The value of $r_0$ increases from the highest line to the lowest line.

**Fig 5** The singlet, triplet and spin average $n$–$p$ correlation functions versus $q$ at $\nu r = 0$ and $r_0 = 3$ fm.

**Fig 6** The singlet, triplet and spin average $n$–$p$ correlation functions versus $q$ at $\nu r = 0$ and $r_0 = 6$ fm.
relation function averaged over spin might be significantly changed when compared to that of a spinless source. The simultaneous measurements of the n–p correlation function and the deuteron formation rate, which is also sensitive to the fraction of n–p pairs in the triplet state, allows one, at least in principle, to determine the source spin. This issue, however, demands further studies.

In our derivation of the n–p correlation function, the asymptotic form of the n–p pair wave function has been used for all separations of proton and neutron. The correction due to the nonasymptotic states has been discussed in ref [3]. It was found there that the term of the correlation function, which is proportional to $|f^{A}(q)|^2$, should be multiplied by the factor

$$1 - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{e^{R_0}}{r_0}$$

to correct the correlation function.

Our calculations of the deuteron formation rate and n–p correlation function neglect the Coulomb interaction between the proton and the charged source. This can be important for slow protons or/and sources with large charges. The role of the Coulomb effects has been recently studied in ref [15].

Let us briefly summarize our considerations. Recent measurements of the n–n and n–p correlations [9,10] show that the n–p correlation function can be determined very precisely. Since the n–p correlations and the deuteron formation both appear due to final state interactions, we suggest simultaneous analysis of these phenomena. We have calculated the deuteron formation rate $\mathcal{A}$ and the n–p correlation function $\mathcal{B}$ expressing them both through the particle source parameters. When $r_0 \gg v_t$, i.e., the source radius is much greater than the product of the source lifetime and nucleon velocity in the source rest frame, $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ can be well approximated by the analytic expressions (9) and (15), respectively, found for $v_t = 0$. The qualitatively different behaviour of the singlet and triplet correlation functions opens the possibility to study the spin characteristics of particle sources in nuclear collisions.
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